

## **SHM Student Hospitalist Scholar Grant Rubric**

1. Student Name
2. Year of Study
3. Faculty Sponsor
4. Category (research, international, community)

	<b>1 Unacceptable</b>	<b>2 Unremarkable</b>	<b>3 Satisfactory</b>	<b>4 Pretty Good</b>	<b>5 Outstanding</b>
<b>5. Scientific Quality</b>	Not applicable to HM, poorly described research question	May be interesting for HM, does not contribute significantly to already existing literature	Interesting for hospitalists, quite a few studies out there already but the study would contribute new information	Some implications for hospital medicine; initial studies done in the literature, but not well-described	Significant implications for hospital medicine; relevant and not well-studied in the literature
<b>6. Strength of Faculty Mentorship</b>	Hospitalist: No  Experience: Doesn't matter  Commitment to project: Doesn't matter	Hospitalist: Yes  Experience: Little to none  Commitment to project: Little to none	Hospitalist: Yes  Experience: some to good(1-2 prior successful projects mentored)  Commitment to project: Excellent	Hospitalist: Yes  Experience: Good (3-4 prior successful projects mentored)  Commitment to project: Excellent	Hospitalist: Yes  Experience: Excellent (>5 successful projects mentored)  Commitment to project: Excellent, obvious
<b>7. Implementation Plan</b>	No timeline; team members incorrectly identified; unrealistic plan for data collection excellent	Poorly planned timeline; missing necessary team members identified; major revisions needed for data collection and implementation	Reasonable timeline; appropriate team members identified; plan for data collection requires more significant revisions, but is viable	Reasonable timeline; appropriate team members identified; plan for data collection good, few revisions to plan needed	Well-described timeline; appropriate team members identified; plan for data collection excellent, little to no revisions to plan needed
<b>8. Budget</b>	Unrealistic budget.	Reasonable budget, but needs major revision.	Reasonable budget, but needs revision.	Reasonable budget, may need additional support.	Appropriate to planned study, and well within budget limitations.
<b>9. Publication</b>	Not happening.	Only national conferences	Single low impact (< 2) journal article	Moderate Impact (2-10) journal article (JHM, JGIM, Mayo, Green Journal)	High impact (>10) journal article (JAMA, JAMA-IM, Annals), or multiple moderate impact journal articles
<b>10. Demonstrated Commitment to Hospital Medicine &amp; Personal Statement Evaluation</b>	Weak or unclear personal statement without expressed interest in HM	Disorganized statement with minimal purpose or clarity and weak expression of HM interest	Expressed interest in HM with some purpose, organization and clarity to statement	Clear, expressed interest in HM career with good purpose, organization, and clarity to statement	Strong intent to pursue HM with evidence of HM involvement (e.g. conferences); Outstanding personal statement

<b>11. Letters of Recommendation and Evaluation:</b>	Letter does not endorse candidate and contain cautions.	Letter is brief, non-specific or may contain only academic information. Letter lacks personal detail and does not add information to applicant's candidacy.	Letters is supportive and positive. Letter endorses the candidate and adds some personal qualifications/ characteristics.	Letter is supportive and positive and adds personal details.	Letter is supportive and positive and adds personal details. Contain superlatives, exceptional claims of support and talent, and very detailed information about the candidate's strengths.
--	---	---	---	--	---

**12. Other sources of funding applied to:**

**13. Experience in QI Work: (Review of Resume) /Scholarly Productivity:**

**14. Exceptional strengths/diversities:**

*Please comment on any exceptional strengths or aptitudes the applicant has. If their project investigates a currently under-represented field within SHM's umbrella, please discuss here:*